Bylaw or Rule Change Proposal Form

PALOMINO HORSE BREEDERS OF AMERICA
15253 E. Skelly Dr, Tulsa, OK 74116-2637 * USA * (918) 438-1234 * Fax (918) 438-1232
www.palominohba.com

Use to submit a rule change proposal (must be postmarked 120 days prior to convention)

A rule or regulations is not subject to amendment by change, addition or repeal, until it has been in force for at least two calendar years, which limitations may be waived by the Executive Board upon finding extraordinary circumstances: **A.** Concerns the safety, health or well being of a horse and/or rider; **B.** Materially benefits PHBA's programs or it financial stability; or **C.** Involves other compelling circumstances.

Eric Jay John — Member's Name
Lancaster, — City
Ohio — State

82637 — Member's ID Number
04-17 — and expiration date

Existing rule number 3045

OR

Proposed new rule number

Originator's recommendation for change: (Rule should be written as it should appear in the Rule Book)

See attached letter for entire recommendation

Originator's justification for change and impact if not passed: (Proposal will be return if not completed)

See attached letter for justification

Estimated revenue to association with rule change none

Estimated expense to association with rule change none.

RECEIVED OCT 3 1 2016

Attached letter for Rule Change Proposal existing rule number 3045
Submitted by Eric JayJohn

3045 In an effort to promote PHBA, any state or province where there is not an existing APA or the APA has been inactive for five years, the organization or individual hosting any approved PHBA show or classes could use the name of an approved Judge from the American Quarter Horse Association provided there is not a PHBA approved Judge within that state or province. ***The Judges name must be approved by the Judges Committee and the PHBA Executive Board.***

Originator's justification for change and impact if not passed.

"This change is proposed to place the authority over such situations with the Judges Committee and the Executive Board to remove such responsibility from two individuals and to better align these rule's approval with the other special considerations rules that require Executive Board approval. Given the authority placed with the Judges Committee over activities involving Judges, it is believed that primary review should lie with the Judges Committee. A concurring positive approval from the Executive Board provides an additional level of review. Both groups will be required to approve the name of a judge in such circumstances, replacing the approval of 2 individuals with a committee and the Executive Board, thereby increasing the number of people involved in approving a circumstance that is a departure from "normal' practices."

RECEIVED OCT 3 1 2016