

Rule Change Proposal Form

Must be received by November 11, 2022 120 days prior to the Board of Directors meeting

1. Proposed Change (select one):

○ Add new rule

O Delete existing rule. Reference rule as it appears in the 2022 Official Handbook.

| Rule # | | Page # | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|----|
| ○ Change existing rule. F | Reference rule as it appea | rs in the 2022 official Handbook. | | |
| Rule # | | Page # | | |
| 2. Proposed Effective Da | te: | | | |
| 🔾 January 1, 2024 | ○ Other | | | |
| 3. Is this proposal changi (Refer to PHBA Rule #33, | • | nented within the last two year? | YES | NO |

4. Explain why this rule change is needed: (Use attachments if needed)

| 5. Contact Information of perso | on submitting/proposed change: | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| Name: | | PHBA ID# |
| Address: | | |
| City: | | Zip: |
| Phone: | Emaile | |
| Signature: | | Date: |

6. Writing proposed rule changes:

- Define the problem and develop as many positive solutions as you can.
- Determine if any other rules would be affected if your proposal were to be adopted, and specify the rule numbers.
- Submit proper wording for a proposed rule change by typing or neatly printing the exact wording being proposed. If you
 propose changes to existing language, strike through the words you propose to delete. Type in *bold and italics* the words you
 propose to add.
- Determine the financial impact (both income and expense) your proposal could have on PHBA.

The PHBA President will assign proposed rule changes to specific committees for their recommendations. Some committees may be asked to review a proposed rule change and report their recommendations to another committee that ultimately reports to the Board of Directors. Committee Chairs will need to coordinate that input. Committees are free to discuss other proposed rule changes but the assigned committee's recommendation is that which will be used during voting at the Board of Directors Meeting.

PLEASE RETURN TO yellahrses@palominohba.com or fax to (918) 438-1232

JUDGE'S RESPONSIBILITY AT A HORSE SHOW page 72

2118 Video Reviews Video reviews may only be utilized in the instance where a judge has reason to believe that all entrants in an affected class have been videotaped by the official event videographer. A judge may only review video provided taken by the official videographer and not from any other source. Judges may not confer on any penalty or maneuver score prior to submitting a score. If a major penalty, such as but not limited to, a no score, a zero (0), an off pattern, or a two (2) point or higher penalty, excluding marker penalties in reining, is unclear, a judge will submit his/her score and ask that the score be held pending a conference or review of the official video as soon as practical. Should the judges determine via conference with other judges or by properly conducted video review that a penalty was incurred, any such penalty should be applied provided, however, if no penalty is determined to have occurred, the score will be submitted based on original scores. In the event of a review Judges must continue to score no scores and penalty score zero (0) scores in a multiple judged or videotaped event. No judge shall be required to change his/her score following a conference with other judges or properly conducted video review.

2119 Judges may confer in a timely manner regarding lameness, rule violations, disqualifications and major penalties provided a ring steward or show official is present. Judges are never required or obligated to confer with other judges. Other than lameness, in placed classes, wait until horses are lined up to confer in the presence of a ring steward regarding rule violations, disqualifications, and major penalties, only. Judges should not confer regarding other judging considerations. In scored classes, wait until the run is complete and has been judged independently to confer in the presence of a ring steward. When questioning obvious lameness or other disqualifications:

<u>Halter – if soundness is in question, immediately re-track the horse. Make a decision in a timely</u> manner. If all the judges determine the horse is unsound, wait until all horses have tracked to have the ring steward dismiss the horse. If all judges have not called the horse unsound, judge the horse accordingly. Similar procedures should be followed in cases of bites, absence of testes, All other classes – If the welfare of the horse is in danger, immediately dismiss the horse. If the welfare of the horse is not in danger, but the majority agree it's unsound, dismiss the horse at the most convenient and discreet time. In individual worked classes and if the welfare of the horse is not in danger, let the horse finish the work and score it accordingly.

2120 The application of a disqualification for abuse requires the discretion of the judge. The exhibition of a horse that has a cut or abrasion showing clear evidence of fresh blood (i.e.: including but not limited to:) the mouth, nose, chin, shoulder, barrel, flank or hip area (those areas reasonable to believe the injury may have been caused by the exhibitor or equipment) must be considered abuse regardless of how the injury was caused. A judge's decision is not protestable.