

Bylaw or Rule Change Proposal Form

PALOMINO HORSE BREEDERS OF AMERICA

15253 E. Skelly Dr, Tulsa, OK 74116-2637 * USA * (918) 438-1234 * Fax (918) 438-1232
www.palominohba.com

Use to submit a rule change proposal (must be postmarked 120 days prior to convention)

A rule or regulations is not subject to amendment by change, addition or repeal, until it has been in force for at least two calendar years, which limitations may be waived by the Executive Board upon finding extraordinary circumstances: **A.** Concerns the safety, health or well being of a horse and/or rider; **B.** Materially benefits PHBA's programs or its financial stability; or **C.** Involves other compelling circumstances.

Julie Schley	Boyd	Iowa
Member's Name	City	State
104355	Nov 2025	
Member's ID Number	and expiration date	
Existing rule number	NEW	
OR		
Proposed new rule number	2030	
Originator's recommendation for change: (Rule should be written as it should appear in the Rule Book)		
See attached		
Originator's justification for change and impact if not passed: (Proposal will be return if not completed)		
See attached		
Estimated revenue to association with rule change	0	
Estimated expense to association with rule change	0	

Proposed Rule: NEW

Recommendation for change:

I am recommending an ADDITION to the judges rules under section:
REQUIREMENTS TO MAINTAIN JUDGING STATUS

The new rule shall read:

2030. A judge not holding a current PHBA card but holding a card with and in current good standing with AQHA and/or APHA may receive a variance to judge an APA show once each calendar year and each APA may apply for one variance each calendar year.

{side note: there should be NO monetary amount taxed to the individual judge NOR should they be required to be a member of PHBA}

Justification for change and impact thereof:

My clients and I showed at these APA's in 2024:

*Indiana (twice)

*Minnesota (twice)

*Iowa (twice)

*Missouri

*The World Show

*Kansas (show was in Nebraska)

*Mississippi

At each of these shows, we met judges that had previously judged at one of the shows listed above... including a judge that had been at the World Show {after the world show}. We have spent thousands and thousands of dollars supporting these APS's not to mention the World Show. There is no way that a non-biased opinion can be offered when the judge has previously seen the horse/exhibitor multiple times. There are a number of judges that we presented to that had also been at **THREE** DIFFERENT SHOWS in the midwest! I understand the notion of hiring some judges that can split hotel rooms or travel together. A decision needs to be made: if you keep hiring the same judges {because the judging pool is very narrow} due to the cost for the APA, then you will see some that will not continue to support that APA. **ORRR** do we widen the pool to offer the exhibitors new/fresh opinions because the AQHA/APHA pool of judges is far larger than those holding a PHBA card.

We are losing exhibitors, horses, and entries! Is this the only reason we are losing numbers? No, but it is having a big impact on my group (for one) and our desire to spend the money in 2025 to show the weekend shows.

The only two classes that vary from the AQHA or APHA judges requirements would be COLOR and HUNTER IN HAND. I've personally watched the judges at the world show walk around with a gold coin.... Give the AQHA/APHA judge a

gold coin! And hunter in hand is just a halter class for hunt seat horses which shouldn't be a problem to judge, in my opinion.